CEEA-ACÉG Panel: Rubric

	Not acceptable	Needs improvement	Satisfactory	Excellent
Panel title and name of facilitators	Title does not relate to the abstract and/or name and affiliation of the facilitations is not included	Poor connection of the title with the abstract text.	Title provides sufficient information about the content of the abstract	Provides concise and clear information about the content of the abstract
Clear description of the panel (context, motivation, background)	A description is not included in the abstract	The presented rationale does not appeal to the interest of the reader (i.e. vague introduction to the panel discussion)	The abstract text contains a background with necessary information about the motivation of the panel session	The background details the motivation and the rationale for this panel session, allowing the reader to decide whether to attend or not
Clear description of the purpose of the panel	No purpose or learning objectives are described in the abstract	The learning objectives for the panel session are not clear	A list of learning objectives are included in the abstract text with sufficient information for the reader	The list of learning objectives accurately describes the goals for the panel session
Clear description of the panel session plan and schedule	The description of the activities for the panel is not included	The abstract includes vague description of the structure of the panel session	A course of action is described in the abstract text with sufficient information for the reader to make a decision	Detailed descriptions of the participation from both the panelists, and the public (if applicable) is included in the structure of the session

Clear description of the members of the panel	Panel make-up is not included in the abstract text	The abstract text includes only the names of the panelists and moderator without including any relevant information	Panel make-up includes sufficient information of the panelists and moderator	Panel make-up includes information of the panelists, moderator, as well as relevant information such as the academic and professional background of the panelists
Quality of the abstract	Unable to convey meaning	Poorly written. Several spelling and grammar mistakes. Contains a few undefined terms and acronyms	Clearly written with a few spelling and grammar mistakes. Includes one or more undefined acronyms	Clearly conveys the meaning. No spelling or grammar mistakes. All acronyms have been defined except for the most common ones in the field